Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 7/26/2017 11:46:59 AM Filing ID: 100871 Accepted 7/26/2017 ORDER NO. 4016

# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

Before Commissioners: Robert G. Taub, Chairman; Mark Acton, Vice Chairman;

Tony Hammond; and Nanci E. Langley

Market Dominant Product Prices Inbound Market Dominant Registered Service Agreement 1 (MC2016-168) Negotiated Service Agreement Docket No. R2016-6

ORDER APPROVING MODIFICATIONS OF PRIME REGISTERED AGREEMENT
(Issued July 26, 2017)

## I. INTRODUCTION

On May 31, 2017, the Postal Service filed notice of revisions to the PRIME Registered Service Agreement (the Existing Agreement), which is included within the Inbound Market Dominant Registered Service Agreement 1 product on the market dominant product list.<sup>1</sup> For the reasons given below, the Commission approves the modifications to the Existing Agreement.

#### II. BACKGROUND

The PRIME Registered Service Agreement is a multilateral agreement concerning the "exchange of certain information in electronic form related to the sending

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Notice of United States Postal Service Providing Update Concerning Inbound Market Dominant Registered Service Agreement 1, May 31, 2017 (Notice).

and delivering of registered items pursuant to Article 13 of the Universal Postal Convention." Notice at 1. The Commission added Inbound Market Dominant Registered Service Agreement 1 to the market dominant product list as a new product on August 17, 2016, and included the Existing Agreement within that product.<sup>2</sup> On February 15, 2017, the Postal Service filed notice of revisions to the Existing Agreement.<sup>3</sup> On February 22, 2017, the Commission issued an order acknowledging these revisions to the Existing Agreement.<sup>4</sup>

#### III. THE POSTAL SERVICE NOTICE AND RELATED FILINGS

In its Notice, the Postal Service informed the Commission of two additional versions of the Postal Service's Annex 3 to the Existing Agreement. See Notice at 2. Both versions of Annex 3 set forth delivery times (targets) for the Postal Service under the agreement. *Id.* The first version went into effect on June 1, 2016. *Id.* The second version was scheduled to go into effect on June 1, 2017. *Id.* The second version of Annex 3 also adds a new tab that includes a Postal Service International Service Center office of exchange used under the bilateral agreement between Hongkong Post and the Postal Service. *Id.* 

Redacted copies of both versions of Annex 3 were filed contemporaneously with the Notice. *Id.* Attached to the Notice was a redacted version of Attachment 1, which provides information regarding the parties to the Existing Agreement as of April 1, 2017, and remuneration paid for inbound Registered items in April 2017. *Id.* at 3. Unredacted copies of Annex 3 and Attachment 1 were filed as non-public documents subject to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Docket Nos. MC2016-168 and R2016-6, Order Adding Inbound Market Dominant Registered Service Agreement 1 to the Market Dominant Product List and Approving Type 2 Rate Adjustment, August 17, 2016 (Order No. 3471).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Docket Nos. MC2016-168 and R2016-6, Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing Revised Version of Inbound Market Dominant Registered Service Agreement, and Application for Non-Public Treatment, February 15, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Docket Nos. MC2016-168 and R2016-6, Order No. 3799, Order Acknowledging Revised Version of Inbound Market Dominant Registered Service Agreement, February 22, 2017.

Postal Service's application for non-public treatment previously filed with its initial notice in Docket No. R2016-6.<sup>5</sup>

On June 1, 2017, the Postal Service was requested to provide the cost, revenue, and disaggregated cost data previously specified by Order No. 3471.<sup>6</sup> The Postal Service had omitted that information from the Notice. See Notice at 2. On June 8, 2017, the Postal Service responded by filing a motion for either partial reconsideration of CHIR No. 1 and Ordering Paragraph 6 of Order No. 3471, or for an extension of time to provide the information requested by CHIR No. 1.<sup>7</sup>

On June 16, 2017, the Postal Service filed a notice of filing a revised version of the Existing Agreement, which included revisions to Annex 6 that added two foreign postal operators to the list of parties (LibanPost and Georgian Post).<sup>8</sup> These revisions went into effect on May 1, 2017 and June 1, 2017, respectively. Second Notice at 2.

On June 30, 2017, the Chairman issued a notice designating himself as Presiding Officer, granting partial reconsideration of CHIR No. 1, and vacating CHIR No. 1.9 He also issued Presiding Officer's Information Request No. 1 to facilitate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See *id.*; see also Docket Nos. MC20168 and R2016-6, Request of United States Postal Service to Add Inbound Market Dominant Registered Service Agreement to the Market Dominant Product List, Notice of Type 2 Rate Adjustment, and Application for Non-Public Treatment, July 13, 2016, Attachment 4 (July 13, 2016 Notice).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Chairman's Information Request No. 1, June 1, 2017 (CHIR No. 1). In Order No. 3471, the Commission directed the Postal Service to file additional information in future filings pertaining to the PRIME Registered Service Agreement. Order No. 3471 at 10 (Ordering Paragraph 6). The additional information was to consist of "workpapers. . . that include. . . volume, revenue, and cost data, disaggregated by country of origin, for each component of remuneration gathered in accordance with Annex 4 of the Registered Service Agreement." *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Motion for Partial Reconsideration of Chairman's Information Request No. 1 and Order No. 3471, or, in the Alternative, Motion for Extension of Time to Submit Response of the United States Postal Service to Chairman's Information Request No. 1, June 8, 2017 (Motion).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Docket Nos. MC2016-168 and R2016-6, Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing Revised Version of Inbound Market Dominant Registered Service Agreement, and Application for Non-Public Treatment, June 16, 2017 (Second Notice).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Notice of the Chairman Designating Presiding Officer and Ruling Granting Motion for Partial Reconsideration, June 30, 2017 (Presiding Officer's Ruling).

resolution of the remaining questions presented in this proceeding.<sup>10</sup> The Postal Service responded to POIR No. 1 on July 7, 2017.<sup>11</sup>

#### IV. COMMISSION ANALYSIS

### A. Financial Analysis

Market dominant negotiated service agreements (NSAs), such as the Existing Agreement, are regulated in accordance with 39 U.S.C. § 3622(c)(10) and 39 C.F.R. part 3010, subpart D. In accordance with those requirements, market dominant NSAs must "improve the net financial position of the Postal Service through reducing Postal Service costs or increasing the overall contribution to the institutional costs of the Postal Service" or "enhance the performance of mail preparation, processing, transportation, or other functions." 39 U.S.C. § 3622(c)(10)(A).

Ordering Paragraph 6 of Order No. 3471 directed the Postal Service in future filings to provide workpapers "that include. . . volume, revenue, and cost data, disaggregated by country of origin. . . ." Order No. 3471 at 10 (Ordering Paragraph 6). CHIR No. 1 sought that information because it was not provided by the Postal Service in its Notice. In its Motion, the Postal Service requested reconsideration of CHIR No. 1 and Order No. 3471 "so as to not require the production of financial information in this instance, and not require the production of country-specific data in any case." Motion at 5.

With respect to the Postal Service's request that it be relieved of the duty to provide the financial information sought by CHIR No. 1, the Presiding Officer acknowledged that the changes to format made in v6.1 to v6.0 of Annex 3 "do not require any additional information for evaluation." Presiding Officer's Ruling at 3. However, he concluded that the addition of a Postal Service International Service

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Presiding Officer's Information Request No. 1, June 30, 2017 (POIR No. 1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Response of the United States Postal Service to Presiding Officer's Information Request No. 1, July 7, 2017 (Response to POIR No. 1).

Center in v7.0 of Annex 3 did require additional information to assess its significance on financial performance and therefore issued POIR No. 1. See id. at 3.

The Presiding Officer also declined the Postal Service's request to be relieved of the obligation in any case to produce country-specific data. *Id.* at 4. Instead, the Presiding Officer clarified that the Postal Service must, in accordance with 39 C.F.R. § 3010.42, "file financial information when a modification proposes to change rates, fees, or mail classifications." *Id.* He stated further that this requirement does not preclude the Postal Service in future cases from asserting its belief that "a proposed modification to the agreement does not change rates, fees, or mail classifications...." *Id.* However, the Presiding Officer suggested that in such cases, "the Postal Service [should] confirm such conclusion with a brief explanation of the basis for its belief when it files notice of modification." *Id.* In making this suggestion, the Presiding Officer noted that the Commission retained "discretion to request financial information to determine whether a proposed modification may implicate a *de facto* rate change or otherwise affect the agreement's net financial analysis." *Id.* (*citing* Docket No. R2011-6, Order No. 3457, Order Approving Modification of Exprès Service Agreement, August 8, 2016, at 5).

The Commission adopts the rulings of the Presiding Officer. By themselves, changes in an agreement's format do not obligate the Postal Service to provide the financial information specified by Ordering Paragraph 6 in Order No. 3471. However, other changes, such as the addition of a Postal Service International Service Center to an agreement might, alone or in concert with other changes to an agreement, require production of financial information to determine their effect. If the Postal Service believes such changes should not require the filing of financial information, it should, at a minimum, explain the basis for that belief and confirm that the addition of the International Service Center will continue to allow the agreement to improve the net financial position of the Postal Service.

In its Response to POIR No. 1, the Postal Service confirmed that the addition of the International Service Center will allow the Existing Agreement to continue to improve the Postal Service's net financial position. Response to POIR No. 1, question 1. The Postal Service's confirmation was supported by a brief explanation of the relationship between Inbound Market Dominant Registered Service Agreement 1 and a bilateral agreement the Postal Service has with Hongkong Post. *Id.* The Postal Service also confirmed that the addition of Georgian Post and LibanPost allows the agreement to improve the net financial position of the Postal Service. Response to POIR No. 1, question 2.

Based upon its review of the Postal Service's Notice, Second Notice, and Response to POIR No. 1, the Commission concludes that, as modified, the Existing Agreement should continue to enhance the net financial position of the Postal Service in accordance with 39 U.S.C. § 3622(c)(10)(A).

# B. Filing Requirements

The Existing Agreement contains a provision that empowers the Steering Committee for the Existing Agreement "to amend the Annexes to this Agreement." July 13, 2016 Notice, Attachment 2 at 5. In its Notice, the Postal Service provided notice of revisions to Annex 3. One of those revisions went into effect one year prior to the Postal Service's filing of the Notice. Notice at 2. The Postal Service acknowledges that the filing of that revision was untimely and notes regret for its oversight in filing late. *Id.* at 2 n.4. The Second Notice concerning the additions of new parties was also untimely, but the Postal Service did not acknowledge the timing of its filing in the Second Notice.

The Presiding Officer noted the pattern of untimely filing of the revisions and reminded the Postal Service of the importance of timely filings. Presiding Officer's Ruling at 3-4. In POIR No. 1, he requested the Postal Service to specify the steps it was taking to ensure timely filing of future modifications to the Existing Agreement. POIR No. 1, question 3.

In its response to POIR No. 1, the Postal Service identified the following steps it is taking:

- Employees who regularly work on the Inbound Market Dominant Registered Service Agreement 1 have been reminded of the Commission's request that financial information be timely filed when a modification to the Agreement proposes changes to rates, fees, or mail classifications.
- Changes to Annex 3 delivery standards proposed by the Postal Service will be submitted to the Commission at the same time they are transmitted to the Head of PRIME.
- The Postal Service's lead representative to PRIME will notify the Postal Service's Law Department as soon as possible concerning other proposed revisions to the PRIME Registered Service Agreement that affect rates, fees, and mail classifications so that filings can be made with the Commission as soon as possible.

Response to POIR No. 1, question 3.

In addition, the Postal Service proposes that, in lieu of frequent updates concerning the parties to the Inbound Market Dominant Registered Service Agreement 1, it provide the Commission an annual update as part of the Annual Compliance Review (ACR). *Id.* The Commission denies this request for several reasons.

First, 39 U.S.C. § 407(d)(2) requires that "a copy of each contract between the Postal Service and an agency of a foreign government shall be transmitted to the Secretary of State and the. . . Commission not later than the effective date of such contract." Since the addition of a party to (or removal of a party from) the PRIME Registered Service Agreement establishes a revised version of the contract, the Postal Service must file such revised version with the Commission in accordance with 39 U.S.C. § 407(d)(2).

Second, filing updates of parties to the agreement in the ACR proceeding would be inappropriate because such updates do not present compliance issues. Moreover, updates of parties to the agreement are more properly filed in this docket, the docket in which the Inbound Market Dominant Registered Service Agreement 1 was originally added to the market dominant product list. This procedure will provide a clearer record of the status of the PRIME Registered Service Agreement over time.

Docket No. R2016-6

-8-

Third, the filing of updated party lists, as well as mere editorial changes (such as formatting changes to the agreement), can be handled efficiently with minimum administrative burdens because such filings do not require Commission approval.

Fourth, if the Postal Service is prevented from making a timely filing due to circumstances beyond its control (*e.g.*, when the Postal Service receives an untimely notification from the PRIME Registered Service Agreement's Steering Committee), the Postal Service should promptly notify the Commission and include an explanation for the delay in its filing. See Order No. 3471 at 9.

### V. ORDERING PARAGRAPHS

It is ordered:

- The updated versions of, and revisions to, the PRIME Registered Service
   Agreement filed by the Postal Service on May 31, 2017 and June 16, 2017, are approved.
- The Postal Service's request to file annual updates of parties to the PRIME
  Registered Service Agreement in the Annual Compliance Review proceeding in
  lieu of more frequent filings is denied.

By the Commission.

Stacy L. Ruble Secretary